To: Cc: From: Sent: Subject:	MCCLINCY Matt[MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us] PUGH Mark[PUGH.Mark@deq.state.or.us] DeMaria, Eva Thur 12/10/2015 11:02:14 PM RE: Sulzer
Matt-	
It's an SN assignme	MA with PTW and capping (with a sliver of dredging) is the current technology ent.
Eva	
Sent: Th To: DeM	CCLINCY Matt [mailto:MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us] ursday, December 10, 2015 11:18 AM aria, Eva <demaria.eva@epa.gov> iH Mark <pugh.mark@deq.state.or.us> Sulzer</pugh.mark@deq.state.or.us></demaria.eva@epa.gov>
Hi Eva,	
	vant to confirm that EPA's optimized alternative includes a sediment cap adjacent to Please confirm that we are reading this correctly.
Thanks,	
Matt	
To: MCCl Subject:	IGH Mark Irsday, December 10, 2015 9:30 AM LINCY Matt RE: Powerpoint Presentations to the National Remedy Review Board and Contaminated is Technical Advisory Group Are Now Posted on the Portland Harbor Website

Matt,

I am following up on our brief discussion regarding proposed EPA remedy in front of Sulzer. Below is a blowup of the area. It is hard to tell, but it looks like there is a proposed cap adjacent to the two purple marks. Is there anyway you can contact EPA and verify that this indeed is a proposed cap?

